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Introduction and Background

• This presentation is a summary of two-year Resilient Network Research Project promoted under JSPS Resilient Life Space Umbrella Project.

• When natural disasters such as earthquakes, and tsunami occur, they may cause network breakdowns due to link and node failures, resulting in network service disruptions.

• The network should quickly recover and keep operating after the disasters.

• Resilience: the ability of network to provide an acceptable level of service in the face of various faults and challenges to normal operations.

• Resilient technologies for two types of network (the backbone network and access network) are investigated to make networks more resilient.
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Proposed Approaches for Backbone Networks & Access Networks

• Backbone networks
  – Abundant and redundant network resources (links and routers/switches) for large bandwidth and high reliability.
  – A part of backbone networks may continue to survive even if a large scale link/node failure occurs due to a large disaster.
  – Utilizing still available network resources (links, nodes) could enable the network to continue providing acceptable services for quick recovery.

• Access networks
  – Located close to users, and usually not redundant: once a disaster breaks down access networks, it may be very difficult to quickly repair them.
  – Rather than repairing the destructed access network, network users in the disaster area could construct their access network, using any available devices more quickly and more easily.
Requirements to Resilient Backbone Networks

• Network resilience could be measured by the **Network Recovery Time** with two major time components.

  1. **Failure Detection Time**: detection of alarms and alerts to locate network faults

  2. **Switchover Time**: disables a failed port, enables another, reroutes traffic around a failed switch or router

• For **failure detection**, existing detection technologies like BFD (Bidirectional Forwarding Detection) could be utilized.

• For **switchover**, we apply SDN (Software Defined Networking) /OpenFlow technology because SDN/OpenFlow has a potential capability to provide more programmability and flexibility to respond faster to network situational changes than existing technologies (like MPLS).

• For **Network Recovery Time**, at most 50 ms is considered tolerable to complete path restoration, in the provider networks.
- Network Operating System (NOS) with a global view of network controls forwarding hardwares via OpenFlow protocol.
- Network intelligence is on top of NOS as applications.
- SDN/OpenFlow provides an easier way to manage and automate networks by separating the control plane and the data plane.
Goal of Resilient Backbone Network

- To provide non stoppable end-to-end services in the various critical environments, including link/path and node failures.
Three Research Issues for Fast Network Recovery Using SDN/OpenFlow Technology (1)

1. Switchover mechanism from a faulty link to a normal link
   - **Switchover time**: the time from failure detection to path restoration on an end-to-end basis.
   - OpenFlow supports a wide variety of switchover mechanisms not available in existing network recovery mechanism.
   - We investigate some of the OpenFlow–specific and OpenFlow-integrated switchover mechanisms and evaluate their switchover performance.

- OpenFlow–specific switchover mechanisms:
  - FAILOVER GROUP TABLE-based implementations
  - SELECT GROUP TABLE-based implementations
  - Both utilize local states of OpenFlow switches without involvement of remotely located controllers

- OpenFlow-integrated switchover mechanisms: OpenFlow with **Multipath TCP (MTCP)** in the TCP layer
Three Research Issues for Fast Network Recovery Using SDN/OpenFlow Technology (2)

2. Communication delay (propagation delay) between SDN controllers and switches
   - 2/3 of light speed: 5 ms delay for 1000 km distance
   - Analysis of the communication delays under a realistic network topology

3. Global view of the network
   - Necessary to find any available network resources (paths, links) to restore all the end-to-end paths.
   - In the conventional IP network, a global view is maintained by the IP routing protocols like OSPF and BGP: Slow convergence time
   - In the SDN/OpenFlow network, a global view could be maintained and updated by multiple SDN controllers to keep the network scalable: several solutions have already been proposed.
   - We assume that in our implementation and evaluation, a global view is maintained among the SDN controllers either by the existing IP routing protocols or any new routing protocols for SDN/OpenFlow.
   - Focus on the end-to-end network level evaluations of network recovery performance under realistic scenarios.
1st Issue: Fast Local Switchover Mechanism (1):

FAST FAILOVER GROUP TABLE

- FAST FAILOVER GROUP TABLE allows a fast switchover from the active output port to the standby output port. (in the active/standby mode) without the involvement of controller.
Fast Local Switchover Mechanism (2):

**SELECT GROUP TABLE**

- **SELECT GROUP TABLE** allows a single data flow to be divided into multiple subflows, each with a different path (output port) in a weighted round robin manner (in the active/active mode).

- When link/port failures occur, the switch recalculates the weighted values, eliminates the failed ports and reallocates the traffic to active output ports.

- **SELECT GROUP TABLE** achieves a better resource allocation and less packet loss than FAST FAILOVER GROUP.
Implementation and Evaluation of Fast Local Switchover Mechanisms on Two Different Platforms

- **Software switch**: Open vSwitch (OVS) on a Linux PC to support the FAST FAILOVER GROUP TABLE
- **Hardware switch**: Open vSwitch (OVS) mode on the hardware switch (Pica8 P3295) to support the FAST FAILOVER TABLE
- **Average network recovery time (Disruption time)**: 21.1 ms for software switch and 39.5 ms for hardware switch
Fast Local Switchover Mechanism (3): Multipath TCP (MPTCP) Integrated with OpenFlow

- MPTCP is standardized by IETF (Internet Engineering Task Force), does not need to modify existing applications
- MPTCP creates and maintains multiple active paths for an end-to-end connection
- Divides the TCP flow into multiple active TCP subflows
- Each subflow may go through a different path to achieve better resilience
- OpenFlow achieves fast switchover among multiple active paths when some of the paths fails
Implementation and Evaluation of MPTCP on WiFi Network Environment

- Two subflows each with a different path through a different WiFi access point
- When the path1 fails, path2 keeps transferring the added path1 traffic to achieve seamless handover from Path1 to Path2
2nd Issue: Communication Delay between Controllers and Switches
Analysis of Communication Delay between Controllers and Switches

- **SINET3 topology** is used to evaluate communication latencies between controllers and switches.
- **SINET3**: the previous version of current SINET4, a Japanese national research and education network.
- Two latency metrics under 2/3 propagation delay of light speed:
  - **Average Latency** for allocation of controllers
  - **Worst-Case latency**: the maximum propagation delay between nodes and controllers
Evaluation of **Average and Worst-Case Latencies**

- The more controllers, the lower latencies
- Should carefully choose the location of controller
Optimal Values of Average and Worst-Case Latencies

• These latencies are much smaller, compared with the general requirement of 50ms network recovery time
• Mininet 2.0, the virtual network simulator is used to investigate the overall behaviors of link failure recovery under a realistic scenario and SINET3 topology.

• The worst case latencies between the controller and switches are assumed

When a link failure occurs on the main path, the controller software (POX) should install new rules to the switches to switchover the traffic flow from the faulty path to the backup path.
Network Recovery Simulation Result

• When a link failure occurs at 10\textsuperscript{th} and 30\textsuperscript{th} seconds, the traffic flow is effectively turned from the faulty path to a new path thanks to the POX controller’s global view of the network.

Receive traffic (i.e., goodput) at Tokyo-Host

Goodput on the alternative path
Implementation and Evaluation of Network Recovery by SDN integrated with IP Routing

- Network recovery that integrates SDN with conventional IP routing is implemented and evaluated on both the Mininet simulator and a real testbed with physical OpenFlow switches.
Network Recovery Time Comparison under OSPF protocol

- Scen1: Mininet simulation running Route Flow (for OSPF)
- Scen2: Pica8 switches running Route Flow (for OSPF)
- Scen3: Pica8 switches running conventional IP routing protocol (L2/L3 OSPF)
- All results are close to the dead-interval of 4 seconds.
Effect of Communication Delays on Network Recovery Time

- The larger the communication delay, the longer the network recovery time
Evaluation of Network Recovery Time under Multiple Link Failures

- A more complex network topology with 8 switches, assuming multiple link failures
- 5 redundant paths from source to destination
- Mininet simulation running Route Flow (for OSPF)
### Evaluation Result of Network Recovery Time under Multiple Link Failures

- The network recovery time of multiple link failures is roughly several tens % larger than that of a single link failure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Link Failures</th>
<th>Link Down</th>
<th>Mean (s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>S2-S8 Down, S3-S8 Down, S4-S8 Down</td>
<td>4.131 ± 0.378</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.229 ± 0.441</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.117 ± 0.375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>S2-S8 and S3-S8 Down</td>
<td>4.300 ± 0.318</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>S2-S8, S3-S8, and S4-S8 Down</td>
<td>4.583 ± 0.347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>S2-S8, S3-S8, S4-S8, and S5-S6 Down</td>
<td>5.357 ± 0.537</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Network Recovery Time Comparison under RIPv2 protocol

- **Scen1**: Mininet simulation running Route Flow (for RIPv2)
- **Scen2**: Pica8 switches running Route Flow (for RIPv2)
- **Scen3**: Pica8 switches running conventional IP routing protocol (L2/L3 RIPv2)
- All Scen1 and Scen2 results are close to the timeout-timer of 15 seconds or 60 seconds.

![Graph showing network recovery time](image)

- 15.49 s (Untriggered updates)
- 16.09 s (Untriggered updates)
- 9.43 s (Triggered updates)
Summary of Resilient Backbone Network Evaluation

• SDN/OpenFlow technologies are technically feasible.
  – It can offer a wide variety of switchover mechanism with fast switchover time of 20 to 40 ms under current implementation technologies
  – The overall network recovery time ranges from 20 ms to 60 seconds, largely depending on the employed routing protocol and its timer values to update the global view of network
  – Slow convergence problem remains when IP routing is integrated.
Resilient Access Network

- We propose to apply WiFi multihop access network technology, using commodity mobile devices to provide internet access services.
Multihop Communication Abstraction

- A conventional multihop access network requires each node to implement a traditional ad hoc routing protocol and maintain the routing information for all nodes.

- The proposed multihop communication abstraction allows a chain of single hop WiFi network and does not maintain multihop routing tables.
Tree Structure of Multihop Wireless Access Network

- A network auto-configuration software (NAS) is downloaded to transform each node into the WiFi virtual access point (VAP) and the WiFi station (STA), finally forming a tree-structured multihop network.
Network Reconfiguration Support: Connectivity Status Table (CST)

• Each node manages the CST containing
  – the status ("Connected"/"Disconnected") of all the upward links over the path from the Internet gateway (IGW) to its own node.
  – the Hop_count that represents the hop distance from IGW
• Each CST is automatically updated and propagated downward when the link status changes
Network Auto-Configuration Software (NAS) Components in Each Node

- WiFi Abstraction for multiple logical WiFi interfaces
- VAP abstraction to act as Virtual access point (VAP)
- Reconfiguration support for Connectivity Status Table (CST)
- NAS-downloading trigger to download the NAS
- Only NAS is necessary to construct the WiFi multihop network
Field Experiments at Iwate Prefectual University and Ishinomaki Senshu University
Network Set-up Time

- All the tandem connected networks were set up by the university students.
- The network setup time is less than 154 seconds in 8 hop network: quick enough for emergency response.
The experiment was made from the 1st floor to the 4th floor inside the building of Iwate Prefectural University.
Round Tip Time (RTT) and Packet Loss of Indoor Tandem-Connected Network with 50m Distance

- 20% Packet loss and 200ms round trip time (RTT) in 12 hops were still acceptable for ordinary Internet applications (Web browsing and Skype)
- 50 m distance up to 12 hops: 600 m distance coverage
Round Tip Time (RTT) and Throughput of Outdoor Tandem-Connected Network

- 15 m Distance up to 20 hops
  (300 m distance coverage)
- 30 m Distance up to 16 hops
  (480 m distance coverage)

(a) in the 15m hop-distance tandem network

(b) in the 30m hop-distance tandem network
Packet Loss of Outdoor Tandem-Connected Network

- The largest packet loss is only 5% at Node 15 with 30m hop distance: acceptable enough for VoIP services and web browsing

![Graph showing packet loss vs hop count for 15m and 30m hop distances]
Throughput of Outdoor Tree-Structured Network when Leaf Nodes concurrently transmit the packets

- The throughput are different at different nodes
- The lowest throughput of around 100Kbps was acceptable for Web browsing
Summary of Resilient Access Network and Final Remarks

• Resilient Access Networks: WiFi multihop access network is feasible for real deployments.
  – It can cover a large area of 500 m to 600 m in radius (more than one kilometer in diameter) in the indoor and outdoor environments for internet access in the disaster area
  – It allows ordinary people or volunteers in the disaster area to set up the network easily by themselves, using available commodity mobile devices.

• Integrating SDN/OpenFlow based backbone network with WiFi multihop access network could allow the whole network to become more resilient to provide end-to-end seamless non-stoppable services.